163

Previous references.
Legis 10-05 Sls 1-9.
B Jan 1906

1906-07
(Legislations)

Later references.
Legis 1907 Sls 1-9
B. 1907-08
Legis 16 of 11-12/1-9B

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 9

Part B. for March 1907

(50)

SUBJECT.

Report under the merchandise marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder for 1905-06.

Contents

From the Dy Comm^r, Kadur, N^o 193 d 31-8-06

Submits a statement showing the action taken in the Kadur District during the year 1905-06, under rule XV of the rules framed under the above Regulation

From the Dy Comm^r, Kolar N^o 1643-96 d 8-9-06

States that no cases or complaints under the Regulation or the rules were reported during

G. R. S. 58

the year 1905-06; that there were no premises which could be brought under Sec 10 of the Regulation, and that piece goods imported into the District were subjected to examination at Taluk Head Quarters for octroi duty.

3 From the Dy Comr. Bangalore No 2396 9.9.06

States that a fair percentage of goods coming under the purview of the Regulation was subjected to examination both in the city and in the Taluks and no instance of any breach of the rules was found and no prosecutions were instituted therefore that though it is not possible to conduct the examinations in the most satisfactory the city magistrate and the Superintendent of Police have been asked to devote their attention to this work also, but that the latter officer has represented his inability to do so and has suggested the appointment of a special officer for the purpose that he has however issued instructions to all officers to have the piece goods bearing trade description or coming within the purview of the Regulation inspected at the time of levy of octroi and to have the results noted in a register but that this is not possible in the City of Bangalore as the bulk is not broken at the time of levy of octroi and is done in the office and

G. R. S. 11] 1906-07

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legislative)

NOTES.

File No. 25

FILE HEADING.

Report under the Merchandise Marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder for 1905-06.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur

No. 153 Dated 31st August 06 Received 2/9/06

Diary No. 7606	Enclos. one Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a statement showing the action taken in the Kadur District during the year 1905-06 under rule XIV of the rules framed under the above Regulation

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGGPB—30,000—17-2-05

G.P.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leg File No. 25 of 1906-07

Serial No.

2 From the Deputy Commr, Kolar, No. 1643/96 D the 3rd Sep 1906.

States that no cases or complaints under the Regulation or the rules were reported during the year 1905-06; that there were no persons, which could be brought under Sec 10 of the Regulation and that price goods imported into the District were subject to examination at Salem Head Quarters for Octroi duty.

3. From the Deputy Commr, Bangalore, No 235 of the 6th Sep 1906.

States that a fair percentage of goods coming under the purview of the Regulation was subjected to examination both in the City and in the Talugs and no instance of any breach of the rule was found, and no prosecutions were instituted therefore that though it was not possible to conduct the examination in a thorough satisfactory, the City Magistrate and the Supdt of Police have been asked to devote their attention to this work also; but that the Supdt Central Office has represented his inability to do so and has suggested the appointment of a special officer for the purpose; that he has however issued instructions to all officers to have the prices

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

goods bearing trade description or coming within the provisions of the Legislation inspected at the time of levy of octroi & to have the result noted in a register, ~~for the purpose~~ but that there is not possible in the City of Bangalore as the bulk is not broken at the time of levy of octroi as is done in Mofussil and that no necessity arose for examining Zabta.

4. From the Dep^y Comm^r, Hassan, No. 2107/S of the 13th Sep^r 1906.

Stating that Mr Sultan Mahideen Khan who continued to the 11th special officer examine the shops in the District and also the weaving institute at Arkalgud and reported to the effect that no breach of the rules had occurred, that the Senior Asst Comm^r, Mr Syed Ameer Hassan inspected the shops in his Division and ~~reported~~ reported to the same effect; and that the Junior Asst Comm^r, Mr D. Ramaswamiengar also inspected the shops in the Arsikere and reported that no breaches of the rules ~~were~~ were detected.

5. From the Dep^y Comm^r, Shimoga No. 535/365 D the 9th Nov^r 06.

Forwards a statement showing the result of inspection conducted in the various Taluks under the rules during the year 1905-06.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.P.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leg File No. 25 of 1906-07

Serial No.

6 From the Deputy Commr, Chitaldrug No. 282 dtd the 29th Dec 1906

States that there were no factories in the District which manufactured piece goods and to which the Regulation could be applied.

7 From the Deputy Commr, Bangalore, No. 569 & the 31st Jan 07.

States that there were no factories in the District during 1905-06 which manufactured piece goods on a large scale; that piece goods imported into the District were subjected to octroi duty in the District and Taluq head quarters and that no infringement of the rules was discovered.

8 From the Deputy Commr, Kolar No. 4908 the 7th Jan 1907.

States that no infringement of the rules was reported in 1905-06 in regard to imported piece goods and that there were no weaving factories which came under the operation of sec 10 of the Regulation.

9 The report of the ~~District Magistrate~~ Deputy Commr of Chitaldrug does not show what action was taken as to the inspection of imported piece goods as required by rule XIV of the rules framed under the Regulation

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.P.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 25 of 1906-07

Serial No.

The proposal of the Supdt of Police to appoint an officer for the purpose of bungalow requires consideration. Perhaps it may be separately considered. The statement of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore that no infringement of the rules was brought to notice seems too vague. It does not show that any inspection was made as required by the rules. May the reports be recorded with these observations

2 Vols forwarded
DC 17/4/07

2 Vols
VS
17/1/07

Abstracts submitted.
DC 15/1/07
Secy
VS
15/1/07

To Demi Official
1913
24-1-07
Polar

4 How does it happen that in spite of this Regulating the Indian made Rules thereunder Cloths are often times not marked with their dimensions, or if so marked the mark & the fact do not agree - It is within most people's experience that Cloth marked as 6 cubits long are only 5½ or only 5 Cubits

VS
21/1/07

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

Only 5 cubits long, Lots marked as 12 & 6 cubits are open only 10 or 11 & 5 or 5½ cubits & so on - Thus is particularly so in ~~forests~~ all the Gujaratis.

Sd/- 10 Feb 07

Clerk with to bring up the personally the file with the receipt register

10/2

5 The indifference of purchasers accounts, I think, for the variety things offered to by the Committee. Any one who is offered a cloth which is shorter than the length marked on it has only to complain to the Magistrate of the District Commissioner & an offence under section 7 of the Act. Similarly the omission to ~~mark~~ the length on piece-goods of British India or Foreign manufacture can be punished under s. 12 of Act IV of 1889 or sec. 10 of Act I of 1892, as the case may be, if information thereof be given by the person to whom such it comes. Customers are in a much better position to have offences punished or prevented than paid Govt establishments can be -

The Magt may be appd

Sd/- 13.2.07

W 13/2.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

7

Serial No.

7 Make clean copy & fair sheet & despatch & submit to Dewan. 15/2/07 WS

8 Sent with a clean copy 15/2/07 WS

9 Draft sub to Dewan for approval 15/2/07 WS

3784 19/2/07

10 Approved by Dewan CSB 20/2/07

11 Done 23/2/07 WS.

Borrow 6/8/08

5

2 the Director of Agriculture 25/2/07

P. 2690-98 5/3/07

Observe the above remarks.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Legs 906 - Rpt 1.

1906 No. 606.

From
M. Chengiah Chetty Esq, B.A.
offg: deputy Commissioner
Madura District

To
K. V. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq, B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue dept

Dated 31st August

Despatched 1st September.

Received

General No. 193
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to submit the accompanying report for the official year 1905-06 on the action taken in this District under Rule XIV of the Rules framed under the Mysore merchandise marks Regulation no I of 1892.

offg: deputy Commr
Madura Dist

Report for the official year 1905-06. on the action taken in the Kadur District under Rule XIV of the Rules framed under the Mysore Merchandise marks Regulation No I of 1892 and issued with Government Proceedings No: 7500 Legg3 dated 29th December 1899.

The following table shows the results of the examinations Conducted :—

Examination Conducted by	Locality of shops in which the goods were examined	Names of owners of shops in which the goods were examined	Description of goods examined	Name of firm from which the goods were imported	Results of Examination
Divisional Assistant Commissioner Kadur District	Tarikere.	Dhulaji Jwarmaljee	cotton Susi 24 yds, 1676, Swadeshi mills Company Ld Bombay.	Pannaji Parmaji commission Agent, Bombay.	Found Correct
		Do	Do	Do	Do

Kaki drill, no 3004, 40 yds	name of firm not noted		Do
Woollen flannel 31½ yds	N. H. Anderson and Co Glasgow.		Found 32¼ yds
Woollen Tweed 31¼ yds	Name of firm not noted Made in England.		Found Correct
Mushi Sadi, 8 yds	Ralli and Brothers Bombay		Do.

Chikmagalur
31-8-1906

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

	Do	England, 58 yds, Damoder Khaney, to 8500		
	Pavagad Adi-Naranappa Krishnappa	Cotton check, 41 yds.	B. Sitaramiah Venkatacheliah Madras.	1/2 yard in excess.
	Do	45 1/2 yds.	Do	Found Correct
Aldur.	Imam Sab	Cotton cloth. no 8560 37 1/2 yards	Graham, Manchester	Do
	Ismail Sab	Cotton cloth No 6209 37 and 37 1/2 yds	Name of firm not noted	Do
Chitmagalur	Abdul Habib Sait	Cotton cloth. Swadeshi Twill. Madras. 40 yards	Swadeshi Twill Madras	Do
		Cotton check no: 501, 24 yds	Shorjokal Bhai Dolat Rums, Bombay	Do
		Cotton check. no 1524, 24 yds	E. D. Sassoon and Co Bombay	Do
		Cotton Serge, 41 yds	Viet Virfate no 3 made in Italy	Do

Amildar
Chitmagalur

	Ismail Sab	Cotton cloth No 6209 37 and 37½ yds	Name of firm not noted	Do
Chikmagalur	Abdul Habib Sait	Cotton cloth Swadeshi Twill. Madras. 40 yards	Swadeshi Twill Madras	Do
		Cotton check no: 501, 24 yds	Shorjokal Bhai Dolat Rums, Bombay	Do
		Cotton check no 1524, 24 yds	E. D. Sassoon and Co Bombay	Do
		Cotton Serge, 41 yds	Viet Vafate no 3 made in Italy	Do
		Kaki drill, no 3004, 40 yds	Name of firm not noted	Do
		Woollen flannel 31½ yds	N. H. Anderson and Co Glasgow	Found 32¼ yds
		Woollen Tweed 31¼ yds	Name of firm not noted Made in England	Found Correct
		Mushi Sadi, 8 yds	Ralli and Brothers Bombay	Do

Amildar
Chikmagalur

Deputy Amildar Sringeri	Sringeri	Prabhaji Bhatta-ji	Chendrakhani no 8 Length - 40 yds: Breadth 13/16 yards.	Name of firm not noted.
			Chandrakhani. no 5 Length - 37 yds: Breadth - 1/8 yards.	
			Moall. no 4. Length - 22. Breadth - 17/16 yards.	
			Mall no 4. Length - 20 yds. Breadth - 15/8 yards.	
			Do - no 5 Length - 20 yds Breadth - 13/8 yards.	
		Gnanachandra Dallal	no. Length. Breadth Woollen Flannel - 1 - 20 yds 13/16 yds Do. Serge 3 - 8 " - 13/8 " Cotton Drill 2 — 24 " — 1/8. "	
		Bhajay Maddu Rao	Length. Breadth Woollen Tweed no 3. - 9 10 - 1/2 yds Do - Serge no 3 - 10 - 1/2 Do - Marina no 1 — 10 - 13/16	

Chikmagalur
31-8-1906

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

J62° 1906-07

From

The Deputy Commr. [illegible]
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to Govt. Educational
Agriculture General Dept
Bangalore

Dated 3-9-06

Rec'd 4-9-06

No. 1643
96

Statistics of no cases of
Complaints under the
Weights Measures
Regulations were received
during the year 1905-06

12

4 Leg 7257/06 Sr 2

Office of the District Magistrate,
Kolar District.
Dated, Champion Reefs, 3rd September"06

From

C Srikantesvara Aiyar Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To

K.S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharajah
of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

N O Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 6305-12/Mis. 1370 dated 6th November 1900, I havethe honor to state that no cases or complaints under the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation and Rules framed thereunder, were reported during the year 1905-1906.

2. There were no premises in the District which can be brought under Section 10 of the Regulation.

Kolar----------	1351-6-0.
Bowringpet-----	1013-9-6.
Chintamani-----	2487-4-0.
Srinivaspur----	108-15-7.
Mulbagal-------	578-10-4.
Sidlaghatta----	686-15-10.
Chikballapur---	1116-7-11.
Bagepalli------	39-12-9.
Gudibanda------	85-11-1.
Goribidnur-----	434-6-11.
Malur----------	116-10-7.

3. Piece goods imported are chiefly subjected to examination under the said Regulation and Rules, at all Taluq Head Quarters, for the purpose of levying octroi duty on them. The amount collected under this head during the year under report is noted in the margin.

I havethe honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Srikantesvara Aiyar
District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

Leg 25-4-06
8243

1906-07 J 649 5.

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Distt.

To The Secy: to Govt: Genl: & Rev: Depts.

Dated 6th
Despatched 8th } September 1906
Received

General No.
J No. 239

Enclosure No

Reporting on the working of the "Merchandize Marks Regulation" in the District during the year 1905-06

S. No. 237 Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore 6th September 06.

From,

H. A. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

The Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to make the following report on the working of the "Merchandize Marks' Regulation" in the district during the year 1905-06.

A fair percentage of the goods coming under the purview of the Regulation was subjected to examination both in the City of Bangalore and in the Mofussil

Taluks

Taluks and no instances were found in which the description did not tally with the actual measurements and Consequently no prosecutions were instituted during the year.

3. The examination of the goods as Conducted hitherto not having been done upon any system, I have issued instructions to all the officers, that piece goods bearing trade description or Coming within the operation of the Regulation must be inspected at the time of levying the octroi duty and the result of every such test duly entered in a Register kept for the purpose. But this is not possible in the City of Bangalore as the bulk is not broken at the time of levying the duty as in Mofussil places and municipal stamp is not impressed upon each cloth. The Police Superintendent and the City Magistrate are requested to inspect the shops

in

to the City as often as possible

4. There arose no necessity for examining the yarns as neither any information was received nor was there any reason to suspect that the description thereof was false.

5. The Police Superintendent represents in his report, that as the business in the City is on a large scale, and that as no fairly satisfactory inspection work could be done by any Police officer in addition to his own legitimate duties which are heavy enough, a specially trained man may with advantage be appointed to attend to the work. The suggestion deserves consideration.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

mm

1906-07.

From P. R. S. Wetherall Esq.
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To
K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq. B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Dated 13th April Genl & Rev. Dept.
Despatched 15th " September Bangalore.
Received

General No. 247
No. S

Enclosure No. one

Recorder's note
Statement kept
as separate in the file
14.3.07.

Subject.

Submitting the Annual Report on the working of the Rules under the Merchandise Marks Regulation for the official year 1905-06

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District.
Dated Hassan 13th September
1906.

From

P. R. W. Wetherall Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esqr. B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following Annual Report on the working of the Rules under the Merchandise Marks Regulation for the official year 1905-06.

2 — Mr. M. G. Mahideen Khan, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Hassan District, continued to be the Special Officer for the purpose of Rule XIV of the Merchandise

Merchandise Marks Rules.

2 ——. During the year under report Mr. M. G. Mohideen Khan inspected 24 Shops in the District as noted in the margin. 67 pieces of local and foreign goods of different varieties were examined by him and the trade marks and measurements were found correct. The Weaving Institute at Hole-Narsipur was also inspected and no infringements of the Rules were noticed. Mr. M. G. Mohideen Khan concludes as follows:— "No cases contrary to the Merchandise Marks Regulation were found by me and the Trade marks and measurement were found correct. I also inspected

*

Village or Town	Number of Shops inspected.
1. Hassan Town	4
2. Sakleshpur	2
3. Belagode.	1
4. Balle.	4
5. Arsikere	2
6. Banavar.	3
7. Hole-Narsipur	5
— Do —	The Weaving Institute (aided by Government.
Konanur	2
Basavapatna	1

the weaving Institute of Sampathiengar at Hole-Narsipur which is the only Institution in the whole District and found nothing unusual."

A copy of the Statement showing the number of piece goods shops inspected by Mr. M.G. Mohideen Khan with dates and results of inspection, is hereto attached.

3— The Senior Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Syed Amir Hasan reports that the piece goods sold in the several shops of his Division were often inspected by him during the year under report, that they were found to have been imported from Bombay, Madras, Cannanore and such other places, that with the exception of a few coarse Dhoties and Chadders from the Bangalore Cotton Mills,

&c

no other goods manufactured in the Mysore State were kept in stock by these merchants. He found that all these answered the require=ments of the Merchandise Marks Regulation and Rules framed thereunder. He further adds that details were not noted down by him as he did not find any breach of the Rules.

4— Mr. B. Ramaswamaya B.A. B.L. General Assistant Commissioner reports that there are no places of manufacture of piece goods in the Taluks of his Division which can be brought under these Rules, that, during the year 1905-06 two important shops in Arsikere town were inspected in

at detail and that no infringement of the provisions of the Rules and Regulation was detected and that more inspection in this branch of work could not be done by them owing to press of work.

5——. In conclusion, it may be stated that there are no places of manufacture in this District which fall within the purview of Section 10 of the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation No: I of 1892 as amended by Regulation No. V of 1905 which exempts goods manufactured on premises which are not factories as defined under Section 2 of the Factories Regulation No. IV of 1892.

X V1

X V2

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

D M Wetherall

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

GSR

Statement showing the number of pieces of Piece goods in the several Taluks of the Hassan District during the year

Taluk	Town or Village.	Name of Merchant.	Name of Manufact
Hole-Narsipur	Hole-Narsipur	Gowda Venkata Ranga Setti.	Binny & Co Madras
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	— Do —
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	S. A. Taylor & Madras
Nanjarabad	Sakleshpur	Siddégowda	Wood Draffi
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Karka & Co Ahamedab
— Do —	— Do —	Heerajee	Julius Leap & Co Manch
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Hobson & C Manches
Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Muvajee Gokal Das
Do —	Do —	— Do —	Damoo Khetsey Bombay

Encl. to SL No 4 of
Leg Div No 25 of 1906

Dte examined by the Assistant Superintendent
905-06.

rec.	Nature of Goods examined.	Date of examination.	Result of Examination.
	Black check with white stripes.	14-10-05	Found correct.
	Drill unbleached	—Do—	—Do—
	Mull piece bleached.	—Do—	—Do—
	Longcloth unbleached.	12-11-05	—Do—
	Pareheé	—Do—	—Do—
	Longcloth bleached.	—Do—	—Do—
4	—Do—	—Do—	—Do—
4Co	Bed Sheet	—Do—	—Do—
4Co	Black Permetta	—Do—	—Do—
7			

— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	S. Narayan Kamal
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Benny & C
Arsi Kerè.	Arsi Kerè	Ratnajee.	Not stated on goods.
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	— Do —
— Do —	— Do —	Laljee Riffajee	Benny & C
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Victoria G
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Grahams &
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Ralli Broth
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	— Do —
— Do —	Banawar	Lalajee.	David & Sa
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Manchee Mahomed Sha Saheb
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Diamond Mar

	Khaki drill.	—Do—	—Do—
	Table cloth (striped)	—Do—	—Do—
	Bleached drill	21-11-05	—Do—
	—Do— (Inferior)	—Do—	—Do—
	Panché (unbleached)	—Do—	—Do—
	Drill bleached.	—Do—	—Do—
	—— Do ——	—Do—	—Do—
	Red Turkey cloth	—Do—	—Do—
	Yellow —Do—	—Do—	—Do—
	Bleached drill	22-11-05	—Do—
	Long cloth unbleached.	—Do—	—Do—
	Drill bleached.	—Do—	—Do—

Navsaree (Continued)	Banawar	Multan Mul-	Sholapur M
		-mul Singh.	Company
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Buckingha Mill Compa
— Do —	— Do —	Jarmal Rowjee	Graham & Co Bo
Navsaree	Nasan Town	Hemmajee and Gynajee	Binny & C
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Nabulkhan ma
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Thomas Hug & Co Manch
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Killer, Le & Co Manch
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Walker & C Mad
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	— Do
— Do —	— Do —	— Do —	Manabji Bombay
		— Do —	— Do

ill	White check with	22-11-05	Found
n	black stripes		Correct.
m	White check with	—Do—	—Do—
ny	Stripes.		
a	Satin Bleached	—Do	Do
ughester	Check. Grey cloth	18-12-05	—Do—
bay			
o	Red Turkey cloth	—Do—	—Do
Co			
ras.			
stion	Drill bleached.	—Do—	—Do
eslet.			
kson	Long cloth	—Do—	—Do
eslet.	(unbleached)		
o	Panchê unbleached.	—Do	—Do
zo.			
—	— Do —	—Do—	—Do
Petit	Red sheet	—Do	—Do
—	Red Chintz	—Do—	—Do

Ghee	Konanoor	narwa de Jarmal.	Tailor & C
-Do-	Basavapatna	Puttaswamie Setti	Buckingh Mills Bomb
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	Grama Compan Mad
Bellet	Bellet	Chandjee	Binny & Ma
-Do-	-Do-	-Anupchand	-Do-
-Do-	-Do-	-Rumanchand	-Do-
-Do-	-Do-	-Vummajee	-Do-

(Signed) S. Narayen Ron
Assistant Superintend
Hassan Distr

-Do-	-Do-	Puttaunaiya	Binny & Ma
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Hajee B Company Ma
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Basel Mis Company Cal
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Not stated
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Best & Co Mad
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Binny & Ma
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Chutra bu Bomb
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Cawnpor
-Do-	-Do-	-Do-	-Taylor & Mad
-Do-	-Do-	-Syed Ahamed	Binny & Ma

o	White long cloth	21-4-06	Found correct.
am	Check	22-4-06	—Do—
ay.			
n	—Do—	—Do—	—Do—
y			
as.			
Co	—Do—	23-1-06	—Do—
as.	—Do—	—Do—	—Do—
	—Do—	—Do—	—Do—
	—Do—	—Do—	—Do—

"Copy"

D M Wetherall

Dy Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

ty Piece			
et.			
o	Black check	—Do—	—Do—
as.			
cha	Panché	—Do—	—Do—
as.			
ion	Black check	—Do—	—Do—
ent.			
the	Soosi	—Do—	—Do—
o.			
s.	Mull	—Do—	—Do—
o	Twill White	—Do—	—Do—
as.			
das	Khaki drill	—Do—	—Do—
y.			
mill	Shawl	—Do—	—Do—
o	Chintz Sarees	—Do—	—Do—
s			
o	Red Soosi	—Do—	—Do—
s.			

Hussan (continued)	Hassan	Syed Ahamed	Kowakaka Company Ma
Do	Do	Do	Bunny Ma
Do	Do	Do	Do
Do	Do	Mahomad Abra-heim Sait	Lakshmi Khanjee Bom
Do	Do	Do	Lee Brea
Do	Do	Do	Sawar Ma Holland Jan Bomb
Do	Do	Do	Hajee Mah Khader Pa Saheb Ma
Manjarabad	Belgode	Basetti	Thompson & Co.
H Dé-Narsipur	H Dé-Narsipur	Naraimsami-Setty.	Century Bomb
Do	Do	Do	Bunny &
Do	Do	Do	Walker &
Do	Do	Krishna Setti	Tailor & Bom
Do	Do	Do	Do
Do	Do	Gowda Venkata Ranjaiya Setti	Bunny
Do	Do	Do	Basel M Calic
Do	Do	Hanumantha Sami Setti	Bunny
Kalgud	Konanur	Badakale Mahomed Sab	
Do	Do	Do	

rill dras.	Chudder White	18-12-05	Found Correct	
Co dras	— do — White	— do —	— do —	
—	— — do —	— do —	— do —	
das bay.	Check Black.	— do —	— do —	
ton ark. pany ray.	Drill	— do —	— do —	
	Longcloth.	— do —	— do —	
mad cha dras.	Red Checks	— do —	— do —	
harpley	White Shirtings	17-4-06	— do —	
Mill ay.	Check.	— do —	— do —	
C.	Check	— do —	— do —	
Co	Panchés (Madras)	— do —	— do —	
Co ray	Longcloth (unbleached)	— do —	— do —	
— —	Drill	— do —	— do —	
Co	Check.	— do —	— do —	
ation ict.	— — do —	— do —	— do —	
Co.	— — do —	— do —	— do —	
	Longcloth	21-4-06	— do —	
	Check	— do —	— do —	

Leg & 25/08 Legs III/4 30 Mod 1296 15

1906-07

From M. Narasimha Rao Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyengar
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 29th November 906.

Received

General No. 559

No. 369.

Enclosure No

Forwards statement shewing the result of inspection of shops in the Taluks of this District during 1905-06 etc.

Statement shewing the result of the examination of the shops in the District of Anantapur for manufacture or sale of food coming under the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1905-06.

No	Taluk	Total No: of Shops	No: of Shops examined	Name of examining officer	Date of examination	Result of examination.
	Dharmavaram	Not given	4	Mr. B. S. Ramojirao Avl Dar	10.10.1905 and 20.3.06	One piece of Bombay long cloth stamped 40 yards measured 40 yds " " Striped check " 24 yards " 24 yds " " Check " 24 " " 24 yds " " Madras " 24 " " 24 yds " " Drill " 40 " " 40 yds One pair of " Panches " 50 inches " 50 One Bangalore chudder or bed sheet 5¼ yds " 5¼ yds One Bombay dhoti 6¾ yds " 7 yds One piece of Madras Mulmal " 18 yards 18 yds " " Bombay shirting long cloth — 42 yards — 42 yds " " " Nainsook — 18 yds — 18 yds " " " Check — 24 yds — 25 yds " " " — 24 yds " 25¾ yds One " Pavadi cheety " 27 yds " 27 yds One piece of Khora cloth — 36¾/37 yds " 37 yds
	Dharmavaram	Not given	49 hand looms and 4 Shops	Mr. S. Krishnappa Avl Dar	Not given	Flannels, checks, china cloths imported from England or manufactured in the Madras and Bom

3	Sagar	Not given	Not given	Mr. S. Krishnaswamy Iyengar Amildar	Not given	

No clothes of these descriptions were not found. Consequently the examination did not shew any description of length etc on these pieces goods.

One piece of	Bombay check	Stamped	24 yds 18 in.	measured 24 yds
"	"		24 yds	" 24 yds 18 "
One pair of	Madras Panches		5 yds 9 in	" 5 yds 9 in
"	Bombay	"	3 yds	" 2 yds 9 "
"	"	Tittanarm made	6 yds	" 5 yds 10 "
One piece of	Check		24 yds	" 24 yds
One "	"	Chudder cloth	6 yds 18 in	" 5 yds 18 "
"	Calcutta Para Kalis		37 yds 18 in	37 yds 18 "
			38 yds.	
One pair of	Bombay Panches		8 yds	7 yds 18 "
"	Lancashire Panches		5 yds 27 in	" 5 yds 27 "
One piece of	Madras Mull	—	24 yds	" 24 yds
"	Lancashire Mull		18 yds	" 17 yds 8 in
"	"	Mull	40 yds	" 40 yds
"	"	Mull	40 yds	" 40 yds
"	Bombay Mull	"	40 yds	" 40 yds

Shimoga
29th June

Venkatarama Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Jail

J 1569/18

1906.

From M. Cheruvah Chetty Esqr.
B.A.
District Magistrate
Chitaldrug.

To
K. S. Chendrasekharaiyer Esqr
Secy to the Govt of H. H.
B.A.B.L
Dated the Maharaja of Mysor
Revl & Dev. Dept.
Despatched 27th December Bangalore
Received 1906

General No. 284
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that there were no factories in this district during the year 1905-06 where Piece Goods were manufactured.

No.281/1906-07

Office of the District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated, Chitaldrug, 27th December 1906.

From

M.Chengiah Chetty Esquire B.A.,

District Magistrate

Chitaldrug District.

To

K.S.Chendra Sekhara Iyer Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H.the

Maharaja of Mysore,General and Revenue

Departments.Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your reminder no.J1704 of 19th. December 1906,I have the honor to state that there were no factories in this District,during the year 1905-06,where piece goods &c.were manufactured and to which the Merchandise Marks Regulation and the Rules framed thereunder could be applied.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

District Magistrate

Chitaldrug District.

J. 1008
20

1906-07

Leg & 25 1906

From The District Magistrate of Tumkur

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General and Rev: Depttts.

Dated 3rd

Despatched 4th January 1907.

Received

General No. 869.
No.

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the working of the Mysore Merchandise Marks Rules in the District during the past official year.

District Magistrates office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 3rd January 1907

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.,
District Magistrate
of Tumkur

To

K. S. Chandrasekharu Iyer Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

Referring to Government Order No. 6305-12 Mis 1370 dated 6th November 1906 re report on the action taken in this district under Rule XII of the Mysore Merchandise Marks Rules published with Government Notification No 7508. Legis. 93 dated 29th December 1899, I have the honor to state that in this District there are no factories (as defined in the Mysore Factories Regulation) for manufacturing piece goods and yarns on a large scale.

2. Piece goods and yarns imported into this

were on inspection duty in the District and Taluk Head-quarter towns and no infringements under the Merchandise Marks Regulation and Rules were reported during the preceding official year.

M. Srinivasulu

In District Magistrate.

HAR

Leg 7 28/1/08 [illegible] 28.1.08

S.R. No. 8

1906-07

From

The District Magistrate of Mysore —

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore — Genl. & Rev. Department

Dated

Despatched 7th Jan: 1907.

Received

General No. 470

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Submits report re the action taken under Rule XIV of the merchandise marks rules.

No. 470 / Judicial

Office of the District Magistrate of Mysore—

Dated Mysore, 5th January 1907.

To.

Rao. S. Lakshmikantaiya Iyer Esqr.
B. A. B. L.

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore—
Legal & Rev. Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 6305-12. Dated 1370 dated the 6th November 1900, directing the Submission of an annual report on the action taken under rule XIV of the Merchandise marks rules I have the honor to state that no infringements of the rules have been reported in regard to the articles imported into the District.

2. There are no such weaving factories

2/-

factories in existence as defined in Section 10 of the Regulation to operate the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

W. Mulkearns

for District Magistrate

of Mysore

G.R.S. 28]

Fair draft

26

File No. 25

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Legn.)

he 190

Date of issue 5.3.07

Signed by Dy Chamberlain

READ.—

The following letters from the Deputy Commissioners of Districts reporting on the working of the Merchandise Marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder during the year 1905—06.:—

~~ORDER THEREON.~~ No.

1. Letter No. 193, dated the 31st August 1906 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur, submitting a statement showing the action taken in the Kadur District during the year 1905-06 under rule X (V) of the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation.

over 2)

SUBJECT

MGOPB—20,000—24-2-05

2. Letter No. 1643/56, dated the 2nd Sept 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, stating that [illegible] there were no prosecutions in the District [illegible] which could be brought under section the Regulation [illegible] cases or complaints were reported there under the Regulation during the year 1905-06. And that price lords imported to the District were subjected examination at Dalup Head quarters for bonafide action only.

3. Letter No. 235, dated the 6th September 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Angul, stating that a fair centage of goods coming under Regulation was subjected to examination both in the City and the Dalups and no instance any breach of the rule was noticed and no prosecutions were

instituted ~~therefore~~; that though it is not possible to conduct the necessary examination of piece goods in the shops satisfactorily, the City Magistrate and the Superintendent of Police have been asked to devote their attention to this part of work also, but that the latter officer has represented his inability to do so and has suggested the appointment of a special officer instead; that he has however issued instructions to all officers to have piece goods bearing trade description inspected at the time of levy of octroi; and to have the result noted on a separate register, but that this does not seem to be possible in the City of Bangalore ~~for the reason~~ on account of the bulk not being broken at the time of levy of octroi; and that no necessity arose for requiring yarn.

4. Letter No. 247/S, dated the 13th Septr 1906, from the Deputy Commr, Hassan, stating that Mr Gulam Mohideen Khan, who continued to be the special officer, examined 24 shops in the District and also the

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-06.

Weaving Architect at Hole Narsipur, and reported to the effect that no breach of the rules had occurred; that the Senior Asst Comm^r, Mr Syed Amir Hasan, inspected several shops in his division and reported to the same effect; and that the Jewl Asst Commr, Mr D. Ramaswamiyya, also inspected 2 shops in Arsikere and reported that no breach of the rules could be detected.

5. Letter No 533/365, & the 29th Oct 1906, from the Depty Commr, Tumkur, forwarding a statement showing the result of inspection undertaken in the Various Talugs. under the rules during the year 1905-06.

6. Letter No 284, & the 27th Dec 06, from the Dy Comm^r, Chitaldrug, stating that there were no factories in the District which manufactured piece goods and to which the Regulation could be applied.

7. Letter No 265 dated the 31st Jan^y 1906, from the Dy Comm^r, Tumkur, stating that there were no factories in his District during 1905-06 which manufactured piece goods on a large scale; that piece goods imported into his District were subjected to Octroi duty in the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

District and Taluq Head Quarters and that no infringement of the rules was discovered.

8. Letter No. 470 dated the 9th Jan^{y} 1907 from the Dep^{y} Com^{r} Nagara, stating that no infringement of the rules was reported in 1905-06 in regard to imported piece goods and that there were no weaving factories which came under the operation of Sec 10 of the Regulation.

Order thereon:—

No 926 80-81 dt 5-3-07

Accts 25-06-7

1. Recorded.

2. The report of the Deputy Comm^{r} of Chitaldrug does not show if any action was taken either by him or by any of his Assistants in the matter of the inspection of imported piece goods as required by rule XIV of the Merchandise Marks Rules. The reports submitted by him and by the Deputy Comm^{r} of Mysore are vague and give no definite information as to what particular action

has taken to ensure that the rules are being observed.

3. The Government are not prepared to accept the proposal of the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, concurred in by the Deputy Commissioner of that District, in regard to the appointment of a special officer to conduct inspection of piece goods imported into the Bangalore City. But the Deputy Commissioner's assistants in general and the Municipal Vice President, who is interested in the Octroi Revenue, and the City Magistrate, may ~~[illegible]~~ at frequent intervals of time make in the City bazaars the random examination prescribed in rule XIV.

4. In all Districts the experience of purchasers of Cotton & Woollen fabrics points to the desirability of examinations being made under rule XIV more frequently than is apparently the case at present.

W. 15/2/07

for Secretary.

To All Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Print

Mysore Camp 13/2/07

1906-07

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE (LEGISLATION).

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 5th March 1907

No. J. 2690-97 / Legis. 25-06-9

Reviews the Report on the working of the Merchandise Marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder, during the year 1905-06.

GPB—24-3-07—400

23

READ—

The following letters from the Deputy Commissioners of districts reporting on the working of the Merchandise Marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder during the year 1905-06:—

1. Letter No. 193, dated the 31st August 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur, submitting a statement showing the action taken in the Kadur District during the year 1905-06 under Rule XIV of the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation.

2. Letter No. 1643—96, dated the 3rd September 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, stating that there were no premises in the Kolar District which could be brought under Section 10 of the Regulation and no cases or complaints were reported either under the Regulation or the rules during the year 1905-06 and that piece-goods imported into the district were subjected to examination at taluk head-quarters for levy of octroi duty.

3. Letter No. 239, dated the 6th September 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, stating that a fair percentage of goods coming under the purview of the Regulation was subjected to examination both in the City and in the taluks and no instance of any breach of the rules was found; and no prosecutions were instituted; that though it is not possible to conduct the necessary examination of piece-goods in the shops satisfactorily, the City Magistrate and the Superintendent of Police have been asked to devote their attention to this part of work also, but that the latter officer has represented his inability to do so and has suggested the appointment of a special officer instead; that he has however issued instructions to all officers to have piece-goods bearing trade description inspected at the time of levy of octroi; and to have the result noted in a separate register, but that this does not seem to be possible in the City of Bangalore on account of the bulk not being broken at the time of levy of octroi; and that no necessity arose for examining yarn.

4. Letter No. 247—S. dated the 13th September 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan, stating that Mr. Gulam Mohadin Khan, who continued to be the special officer, examined 24 shops in the district and also the Weaving Institute at Hole-Narsipur, and reported to the effect that no breach of the rules had occurred; that the Senior Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Syed Amir Hassan, inspected several shops in his division and reported to the same effect; and that the General Assistant Commissioner, Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya, also inspected two shops in Arsikere and reported that no breach of the rules could be detected.

5. Letter No. 559—369, dated the 29th November 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, forwarding a statement showing the result of inspection conducted in the various taluks, under the rules, during the year 1905-06.

6. Letter No. 284, dated the 27th December 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug stating that there were no factories in the district which manufactured piece-goods and to which the Regulation could be applied.

7. Letter No. 269, dated the 3rd January 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, stating that there were no factories in his district during 1905-06, which manufactured piece-goods on a large scale, that piece-goods imported into the district were subjected to octroi duty in the district and taluk head quarters and that no infringement of the rules was discovered.

8. Letter No. 470, dated the 7th January 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, stating that no infringement of the rules was reported in 1905-06 in regard to imported piece-goods and that there were no weaving factories which come under the operation of Section 10 of the Regulation.

No. J. 2690-97—LEGIS. 25-06-9, DATED BANGALORE, 5TH MARCH 1907.

ORDER THEREON.—Recorded:

2. The report of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug does not show if any action was taken either by him or by any of his Assistants in the matter of the inspection of imported piece-goods as required by Rule XIV of the Merchandise Marks Rules. The reports submitted by him and by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore are vague and give no definite information as to what particular action was taken to ensure that the rules are being observed.

3. The Government are not prepared to accept the proposal of the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, concurred in by the Deputy Commissioner of that district, in regard to the appointment of a special officer to conduct inspection of

piece-goods imported into the Bangalore City. But the Deputy Commissioner's Assistants in general and the Municipal Vice-President, who is interested in the Octroi revenue, and the City Magistrate may, at frequent intervals of time, make in the City bazaars the random examination prescribed in Rule XIV.

4. In all districts, the experience of purchasers of cotton and woollen fabrics points to the desirability of examinations being made under Rule XIV more frequently than is apparently the case at present.

S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar.
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. V.